	Case 2:19-cv-00750 Document 1 Filed	d 01/31/19 Page 1 of 8 Page ID #:1				
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	KELLER/ANDERLE LLP Jennifer L. Keller (SBN 84412) jkeller@kelleranderle.com Chase Scolnick (SBN 227631) cscolnick@kelleranderle.com Jay P. Barron (SBN 245654) jbarron@kelleranderle.com 18300 Von Karman Avenue, Suite 930 Irvine, California 92612 Tel.: (949) 476-8700 Fax: (949) 476-0900 Attorneys for Defendant KEVIN SPACEY FOWLER					
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14	JOHN DOE, an individual) Case No.:				
15	Plaintiff,) DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF				
16171819	vs. KEVIN SPACEY FOWLER, an individual	 REMOVAL Declaration of Kevin Spacey Fowler Filed Concurrently DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 				
20	Defendant.)				
21						
22						
2324						
24 25						
26						
27						
28						

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

1 2

3

TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, THE CLERK OF THE COURT, AND TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Defendant Kevin Spacey Fowler files this Notice of Removal under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, asserting original federal jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), to effect the removal of the above-captioned action, which was originally commenced in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. This Court has original jurisdiction over the action under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) as alleged and described below:

10

11

BACKGROUND

Complaint against defendant Kevin Spacey Fowler ("Defendant") in the Superior

months, and Defendant has not appeared in the state court action. This Notice of

gender violence, (3) battery, (4) assault, (5) intentional infliction of emotional

distress, and (6) false imprisonment. Copies of all "pleadings, process or orders" of

which Defendant is aware are attached to this Notice of Removal as Exhibits A

Court of the State of California, County of Los Angeles, Case No. BC723568.

On September 27, 2018, plaintiff John Doe ("Plaintiff") filed a

The Complaint and Summons were not served on Defendant for several

The Complaint alleges causes of action for: (1) sexual battery, (2)

This action is removable under 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a)-(b), which provides

12

1.

2.

through D.

4.

- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20 21

22

23

GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL – DIVERSITY

- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). 28

Removal is timely as explained below.

- for the removal of state court civil actions over which United States District Courts have original jurisdiction. This Court has original jurisdiction over this case under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) because: (1) Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of different States, and (2) the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000,

- 6. Defendant is an individual. He is a citizen of the United States. (Fowler Decl., \P 2.) Since at least 2014, Defendant has been domiciled in, and a citizen of, the State of Maryland. (*Id.*) Throughout that time, Defendant has resided in Maryland with the intention to remain in Maryland indefinitely and to return to that state whenever he has left it on a temporary basis. (*Id.*) At all relevant times, Defendant has filed tax returns and paid state income taxes as a Maryland citizen. (*Id.*)
- 7. In the Complaint, Plaintiff alleges on information and belief that Defendant is a resident of Los Angeles County, California. (Complaint, \P 3.) That is incorrect. (Fowler Decl., \P 3.) Further, an individual's residence is not relevant for jurisdictional purposes. *See Kanter v. Warner-Lambert Co.*, 265 F.3d 853, 857 (9th Cir. 2001). Instead, the state citizenship of a natural person is determined by his or her state of domicile, not state of residence. As explained above and in the supporting declaration, Defendant has been domiciled in, and a citizen of, the State of Maryland at all relevant times. (Fowler Decl., \P 2.)
- 8. There are only two parties to this action: (1) Plaintiff, who is a citizen of the State of California, and (2) Defendant, who is a citizen of the State of Maryland. Consequently, there is complete diversity of citizenship under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) as Plaintiff and Defendant are citizens of different States.

AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY

- 9. To satisfy the amount in controversy requirement set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a), the removing party must show the amount in controversy "more likely than not" exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. *Sanchez v. Monumental Life Ins. Co.*, 102 F.3d 398, 404 (9th Cir. 1996). The District Court may consider whether it is facially apparent from the Complaint that the jurisdictional amount is met. *Singer v. State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co.*, 116 F.3d 373, 377 (9th Cir. 1997); *Conrad Assoc. v. Hartford Accident & Indemnity Co.*, 994 F. Supp. 1196, 1198 (N.D. Cal. 1998).
- 10. It is facially apparent from the Complaint but not expressly stated that the alleged amount in controversy in this case more likely than not exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, based on the fact the Complaint broadly seeks "statutory, compensatory, and punitive damages" against Defendant, as well as "penalties," attorneys' fees, and "other appropriate and just relief." (*See*, *e.g.*, Complaint, ¶¶ 1, 28-30, 35-38, 43-45, 52-54, 58-60, 67-69, & Prayer For Relief.) Plaintiff alleges his compensatory damages include "physical injury and emotional pain and distress," "economic harm, loss of earnings, and other damages," and "other consequential damages." (*See* Complaint, ¶¶ 28-29, 35-36, 43-44, 52-53, 58-59, 67-68, & Prayer For Relief.)
- 11. Taking into consideration the allegations concerning Plaintiff's alleged damages, Defendant respectfully submits that the amount in controversy more likely than not exceeds \$75,000.

NO WAIVER OF DEFENSES

12. By removing this case to federal court, Defendant does not concede that this Court is a convenient forum, does not waive any of his defenses or objections under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and does not waive any other defense, objection, or right available under the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

TIMELINESS OF REMOVAL

13. On January 2, 2019, Plaintiff's counsel mailed a Notice and Acknowledgement of Receipt form to Defendant's counsel under California Code of Civil Procedure section 415.30. (See Exh. D.) On January 3, 2019, Defendant's counsel executed the Acknowledgement of Receipt. Under California Code of Civil Procedure section 415.30, service is deemed complete at the time of such delivery of acknowledgement. This Notice of Removal therefore is timely because it is filed within thirty (30) days of the date of service on Defendant of a copy of the Complaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

VENUE IS PROPER

Venue lies in the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. §§ 14. 1441(a), 1391(a), and 84(c), because the state court action was filed in this District and Division.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

- Written notice of this removal promptly will be served on Plaintiff and 15. such notice promptly will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).
- In compliance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), true and correct copies of all 16. "process, pleadings, and orders" on file in the state court action or served on Defendant are attached to this Notice of Removal:

21			
22	Exhibit	Document Title	
23	A	Complaint	
2425	В	Summons	
26	С	Notice of Case Assignment	
27	D	Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt	
28		– Civil	

Exhibit	Document Title	Date
A	Complaint	9/27/2018
В	Summons	9/27/2018
С	Notice of Case Assignment	9/27/2018
D	Notice and Acknowledgment of Receipt – Civil	1/17/2019

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that the above-captioned action pending before the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles be removed to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Dated: January 31, 2019 KELLER/ANDERLE LLP /s/ Jennifer L. Keller By: Jennifer L. Keller Chase A. Scolnick Jay P. Barron Attorneys for Defendant Kevin Spacey Fowler

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Case 2:19-cv-00750 Document 1 Filed 01/31/19 Page 6 of 8 Page ID #:6

DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL

PROOF OF SERVICE

Case 2:19-cv-00750 Document 1 Filed 01/31/19 Page 8 of 8 Page ID #:8